

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Edward Aubertine AUS9-2000-0328US1 1975
EXAMINER
VU, TUAN A
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2193

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/903,947	AUBERTINE, MATTHEW EDWARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Vu	2193		

	Tuan A. Vu	2193	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuan A. Vu</u> .	(3) <u>Joe Acayan</u> .		
(2) <u>Khatri Anil</u> .	(4) <u>Joe Sawyer</u> .		
Date of Interview: 24 May 2005.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applicant's representative		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative was considering what can be done to the claim language to enable the application to reach a better condition for the next amendment. Examiner has pointed out a few tems in the claim as being too broad or prone to several interpretations, one of which leading to the outstanding rejection. In regard to the concern of the representative as to what else can be added to the claim, Examiner has explained that there is some teaching in specific parts of the specs that can be used to overcome the flaws of prior art as mentioned in the BACKGROUND of the specifications; and that claim changes if any should bear on bringing forth some teaching in relation with the dynamic aspect as mentioned in the above parts of the disclosure as to show an improvement over the prior art..